IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 27TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 6TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

OP.No. 6597 of 2000(I)

PETITIONER:

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
SALVATION ARMY, TERRITORIAL HEAD
QUARTERS, KOWDIAR, TRIVANDRUM

BY ADV. SRI.T.J.THOMAS

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE PRESIDING OFFICER,**
   LABOUR COURT, QUILON.

2. **SMT.J.RAJAMMA, CHERUKONATHU PUTHEN VEEDU,**
   KONCHIRA, VEMBAYAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. **SMT.KUNJAMMA P.JOY**
   LEELKKAT ZEAYES, CRUSADE, ANGADICAL SOUTH P.O,
   CHEMGANNOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.GOPAKUMAR R.THALIYAL

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: COPY OF THE VOUCHER SIGNED BY 2ND RESPONDENT FOR Rs.4,919.55.

EXT.P2: COPY OF THE VOUCHER SIGNED BY 2ND RSPONDENT FOR Rs.6,092.31.

EXT.P3: COPY OF THE VOUCHER SIGNED BY THIRD RESPODNENT FOR Rs.5,133.40

EXT.P4: COPY OF THE VOUCHER SINGED BY THIRD RESPONDENT FOR RS.5,884.46.

EXT.P5: COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT AND PETITION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE FIRST RESPODNENT.

EXT.P6: COPY OF AWARD PASSED BY THE FIST RESPONENT IN I.D.No.23/91.

TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE

S.SIRI JAGAN,J

==========

O.P.No.6597 of 2000

==========

Dated this the 27th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner is the management in I.D.No.23/91 before the Labour Court, Kollam. He is challenging Ext.P6 award passed by the Labour Court in that I.D. By that award respondents 2 and 3 herein have been directed to be reinstated in service. Pending the writ petition the 2nd respondent attained the age of superannuation and the 3rd respondent was reinstated in another hospital under the same management as per order dated 18.10.2007. Now the parties agree before me that the issue involved in the writ petition can be settled as follows:

The petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs.20,000/- to the 2nd respondent in respect of her claims under the I.D. and Rs.45,000/- to the 3rd respondent towards the wages payable to her from the date of coming into force of the award till the date of reinstatement. She will continue to be employed as per the order dated 18.10.2007. The 3rd respondent would be entitled to all service benefits as if she was reinstated pursuant to the award. This settlement is recorded and the writ petition is

closed declaring that the parties would be governed by the above settlement instead of Ext.P6 award.

S.SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

dvs